1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP ORIN SNYDER (pro hac vice pending)	
2	osnyder@gibsondunn.com ALEXANDER SOUTHWELL (pro hac vice pending)	
3	asouthwell@gibsondunn.com 200 Park Avenue	
4	New York, NY 10166-0193	FILED
5	Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035	SAN MATEO COUNTY
6	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP ETHAN DETTMER, SBN 196046	MAY 1 0 2019
7	edettmer@gibsondunn.com KIM DO, SBN 324131	Clerk/s/the/dupprior/Court
8	kdo@gibsondunn.com	DEPUTY CLERK
9	555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921	
10	Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306	
11	Attorneys for Facebook, Inc.	Ç.
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
. 13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO	
14	FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation,	CASE NO
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR
16	v.	1) BREACH OF CONTRACT
17	RANKWAVE CO., LTD.,	2) BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING
18	Defendant.	3) UNLAWFUL, UNFAIR, OR FRAUDULENT BUSINESS PRACTICES
19		FRAUDULENT BUSINESS PRACTICES
20		
21		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
22		,
23		
24	Plaintiff Facebook, Inc. brings this action for monetary damages and equitable relief against	
25	Defendant Rankwave Co., Ltd. ("Rankwave").	19 - CIV - 02592
26		CMP Complaint 1816498
27		
28	,	
20		
Gibson, Dünn & Crutcher LLP		1

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Ì5

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Rankwave is an application ("app") developer that breached its contract with Facebook by violating Facebook's policies and California law. Specifically, Rankwave (i) used data associated with Rankwave's apps to offer advertising and marketing services, and (ii) failed to comply with Facebook's requests for proof of Rankwave's compliance with Facebook policies, including an audit. These actions are prohibited by Facebook's policies, by which Defendant contractually agreed to abide.
- 2. Since approximately 2010, Rankwave has developed and operated different kinds of apps on the Facebook Platform. Rankwave used the Facebook data associated with Rankwave's apps to create and sell advertising and marketing analytics and models—which violated Facebook's policies and terms.
- 3. Facebook brings this action for breach of contract and violations of California law. Facebook seeks damages and an injunction requiring Rankwave's specific performance of its obligations under Facebook Platform Policy 7.9, which requires Rankwave to respond to Facebook's requests for proof of Rankwave's compliance with Facebook policies, comply with Facebook's request for an audit, and delete any Facebook data that Rankwave possesses in violation of Facebook's policies.

PARTIES

- 4. Facebook is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Menlo Park, San Mateo County, California.
- 5. Defendant Rankwave Co., Ltd., is a South Korean corporation that provides computer programming services and data analytics solutions. Rankwave is headquartered and registered at 521 Teheran Road, 8th Floor (Samsun-dong, Parnass Tower), Gangnam-gu, Seoul, South Korea. During the period from approximately 2010 to 2019, and potentially at other times, one or more Rankwave employees and developers created and administered multiple apps on behalf of Rankwave.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 410.10. The amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, and the total amount of damages sought exceeds \$25,000, exclusive of interest and costs. The contractual

interest at stake in this litigation has significant value to Facebook. Further, Rankwave's unlawful conduct and breaches have interfered with Facebook's business.

- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Rankwave as a result of its substantial, continuous, and systematic contacts with the State of California; because it has purposely availed itself of the benefits and privileges of conducting business activities in California; and because the claims asserted in this Complaint arise from and relate to those actions Rankwave directed toward California, causing foreseeable harm and injuries within this State.
- 8. The Court also has personal jurisdiction over Rankwave because Rankwave used the Facebook Platform and thereby agreed to Facebook's Terms of Service ("TOS"). By agreeing to the TOS, Rankwave, in relevant part, agreed to submit to the personal jurisdiction of this Court for litigating claims, causes of action, or disputes with Facebook.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Court because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims raised in this lawsuit occurred in San Mateo County and because Rankwave agreed to comply with Facebook's TOS, which require disputes to be resolved in the Northern District of California or a state court located in San Mateo County.

FACTS

A. Background

- 10. Facebook is a social networking website and mobile application that enables its users to create their own personal profiles and connect with each other on mobile devices and personal computers. As of March 2019, Facebook daily active users averaged 1.5 billion and monthly active users averaged 2.3 billion, worldwide.
- 11. Facebook also operates a "Development Platform" referred to as the "Facebook Platform." This technological medium enables app developers ("Developers") to run apps that interact with Facebook and Facebook users.

12. Facebook permits Developers to access and interact with the Facebook Platform, subject to and restricted by Facebook's TOS and Platform Policies.¹

Facebook's TOS

- 13. All Facebook users, including Developers, agree to comply with Facebook's TOS when they create a Facebook account. Everyone who uses Facebook must agree to Facebook's TOS (available at https://www.facebook.com/terms.php), and other rules that govern different types of access to, and use of, Facebook. These other rules include Facebook's Community Standards (available at https://www.facebook.com/communitystandards/), and Platform Policies (available at https://developers.facebook.com/policy/).
- 14. Section 3.2 of the TOS prohibits using Facebook to do anything "[t]hat violates these Terms, and other terms and policies," and that "is unlawful, misleading, discriminatory or fraudulent."

Platform Policies

- 15. Developers operating on the Facebook Platform agree to the Platform Policies.
- 16. The Platform Policies impose obligations and restrictions on Developers, including that Developers must obtain consent from the users of their apps before they can access their data on Facebook. The Platform Policies largely restrict Developers from using Facebook data outside of the environment of the app, for any purpose other than enhancing the app users' experience on the app.
- 17. Through the Platform Policies, Developers agree that Facebook can audit their apps to ensure compliance with the Platform Policies and other Facebook policies. Developers agree to provide proof of such compliance if Facebook so requests. Developers agree to the Platform Policies at the time they first sign up to the Platform, and continue to agree to the Platform Policies as a condition of using Facebook's Platform. Over time, the Platform Policies have imposed substantially the same restrictions on the use and collection of Facebook data.

. 22

Over the years, the "Platform Policies" have been called the "Developer Principles and Policies," the "Platform Guidelines," or the "Developer Terms of Service." For simplicity, this Complaint uses the term "Platform Policies" to refer to these policies.

18. The relevant Platform Policies state:

"Only use an entity's data on behalf of the entity (i.e., only to provide services to that entity and not for your own business purposes or another entity's purposes)." Facebook Platform Policy, Section 6.1.

"[Facebook] or an independent auditor acting on our behalf may audit your app, systems, and records to ensure your use of Platform and data you receive from us is safe and complies with our Terms, and that you've complied with our requests and requests from people who use Facebook to delete user data obtained through our Platform. If requested, you must provide proof that your app complies with our terms." Facebook Platform Policy, Section 7.9.

B. Rankwave Agreed to Facebook's TOS and Platform Policies

- 19. Rankwave created a public Facebook Page—a profile on Facebook used to promote a business or other commercial, political, or charitable organization or endeavor—on or about February 3, 2012. Rankwave also created a Facebook business account on or about September 15, 2014. At all relevant times, Rankwave was a Facebook user that agreed to and was bound by the TOS.
- 20. Between approximately 2010 and 2019, Rankwave's employees and agents created and operated apps on behalf of Rankwave on the Facebook Platform. Rankwave's employees and agents accepted and agreed to be bound by the Platform Policies on behalf of Rankwave.

C. Rankwave Created and Operated Different Apps on the Facebook Platform

- 21. Between 2010 and 2019, Rankwave operated at least thirty apps on the Facebook Platform (collectively, "Rankwave's apps"). Before Rankwave's apps could access Facebook data, Rankwave had to obtain the app users' consent.
- 22. Rankwave developed different kinds of apps including apps used by businesses ("business to business" or "B2B apps") and apps used by individual Facebook users ("consumer apps"). Rankwave's B2B apps were installed and used by businesses to track and analyze activity on their Facebook Pages ("Facebook Pages data"). Facebook Pages data commonly includes public comments and likes on Facebook Pages. Users of Rankwave's B2B apps included a South Korean department store, tourism organization, and baseball team.
- 23. Rankwave also operated different consumer apps, which were installed by individual app users. For example, between March 19, 2012 and March 30, 2018, Rankwave operated a consumer app called the "Rankwave App." This consumer app was designed to measure the app user's popularity on Facebook by analyzing the level of interaction that other users had with the app user's Facebook

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP posts. On its website, Rankwave claimed that this app calculated a user's "social influence score" by "evaluating your social activities" and receiving "responses from your friends." The Rankwave App stopped operating on the Facebook Platform on or about March 30, 2018.

D. Facebook's Investigation of Rankwave's Acquisition by a Korean Entertainment Company

- 24. In or about June 2018, Facebook began investigating Rankwave in connection with its acquisition by a Korean entertainment company.
- 25. On information and belief, at the time of the acquisition in May 2017, the Facebook data associated with Rankwave's various apps received a valuation of approximately 11 billion South Korean won (approximately \$9,800,000).
- 26. On information and belief, beginning no later than 2014, instead of only using data associated with its apps to enhance the app experience, Rankwave also used Facebook Pages data associated with its apps for its own business purposes, which include providing consulting services to advertisers and marketing companies. This is prohibited by Facebook Policy 6.1.

E. Facebook's Attempts to Exercise Its Contractual Right to an Audit Pursuant to the Platform Policies

- 27. As part of its investigation, Facebook sought to determine whether Rankwave had used any user data (as opposed to Facebook Pages data) to provide marketing and advertising services. On or about January 17, 2019, Facebook sent Rankwave a written request for information ("RFI") by email. The RFI requested proof that Rankwave was in compliance with its contractual obligations under Facebook's Policies and TOS. Facebook also sought to determine which specific Facebook data Rankwave used to sell advertising and marketing services, including whether any user data had been impacted. Rankwave's response to the RFI was due January 31, 2019.
- 28. On January 29, 2019, Facebook sent an email to Rankwave reminding them that their response to the RFI was due on January 31, 2019.
- 29. Rankwave did not respond to Facebook's emails or the RFI by January 31, 2019, despite its obligations under Platform Policy 7.9 to provide proof of compliance with Facebook's Platform Policies and TOS.

- 30. On February 13, 2019, Facebook sent Rankwave a cease and desist letter ("C&D Letter"). The C&D Letter informed Rankwave that it had violated and continued to violate the Platform Policies, including Policy 7.9, by failing to provide proof of compliance with Facebook's Platform Policies and TOS.
 - 31. The C&D Letter demanded that Rankwave:
 - (i) Provide a full accounting of Facebook user data in its possession;
 - (ii) Identify all individuals, organizations, and governmental entities to which it had sold, or otherwise distributed, Facebook user data;
 - (iii) Provide a full record of the access logs and permissions it had granted third parties to access the data;
 - (iv) Delete and destroy all Facebook user data after returning it to Facebook; and
 - (v) Provide Facebook with full access to all storage and related devices so that Facebook could confirm deletion and destruction of the data through an audit.
- 32. In the C&D Letter, Facebook reserved all rights to take action to enforce Facebook's policies and terms, including the Platform Policies and TOS, in order to protect its users, website, services, network, and Platform. The letter explained that Facebook would consider Rankwave's failure to respond as an admission that it had violated Facebook policies and terms.
- 33. On or about February 17, 2019, Rankwave began to try to lull Facebook with false representations that it would respond to Facebook but needed more time. Specifically, Rankwave responded to Facebook's C&D Letter in an email and stated that Rankwave's chief technology officer had resigned, and thus Rankwave needed more time to respond.
- 34. Facebook replied on or about February 19, 2019, explained this was a serious and urgent matter for Facebook, and demanded that Rankwave comply with the C&D Letter and respond in writing to the RFI by February 21, 2019.
- 35. On or about February 20, 2019, Rankwave responded by email and claimed that it had not violated Facebook's TOS or Platform Policies, but Rankwave failed to provide any proof in support, any responses to the RFI, and ignored the demands in the C&D Letter, including the audit request. Rankwave further claimed that it had not had access to any of its Facebook apps since 2018.

9

15

13

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

-27

28

This statement was false, however, as Rankwave continued to use at least one of its B2B apps until at least April 2019.

- 36. On or about February 23, 2019, Facebook sent an email to Rankwave demanding that Rankwave comply with the C&D Letter and provide written answers to the RFI by February 25, 2019.
- 37. On or about February 25, 2019, Rankwave claimed in an email that it would need nine additional days to respond because its leadership was visiting Spain.
- 38. On or about February 27, 2019, Facebook agreed via email to extend the time for Rankwave to respond to March 6, 2019, but warned that it would not give any further extensions of time. Rankwave failed to respond.
- 39. To date, Rankwave has failed to comply with the RFI, C&D Letter, audit request, and Facebook's other requests for proof of Rankwave's compliance with Facebook's policies, including the Platform Policies and TOS.

Rankwave's Unlawful Acts Have Caused Facebook Harm

- 40. Rankwave's breaches of Facebook's Platform Policies and other misconduct described above have harmed Facebook, including by negatively impacting Facebook's service.
- 41. Rankwave's misconduct also has harmed Facebook's reputation, public trust, and goodwill, and caused Facebook to spend resources investigating and redressing Rankwave's wrongful conduct. Facebook has suffered damages attributable to the efforts and resources it has used to investigate, address, and mitigate the matters set forth in this Complaint.
 - 42. Rankwave has been unjustly enriched by its activities at the expense of Facebook.
- 43. Monetary damages would not adequately remedy the breach of Facebook's contractual right to audit Rankwave to determine Rankwave's compliance with Facebook's Platform Policies and TOS.
- 44. The only adequate remedy for Rankwave's breach with respect to Facebook's audit right is Rankwave's specific performance of its contractual obligations to Facebook to comply with Facebook's audit request and provide proof of compliance with Facebook's Platform Policies and TOS.

45. Rankwave received adequate consideration for its agreement with and contractual obligations to Facebook, namely its ability to develop and operate apps on the Facebook Platform. Facebook's Policies and TOS as to Rankwave are just and reasonable.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract)

- 46. Facebook incorporates all other paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. Rankwave has operated a Facebook account since at least February 12, 2012, when it created a Facebook Page. When it created its Facebook account, Rankwave entered into agreements with Facebook by agreeing to Facebook's TOS.
- 48. Rankwave also agreed to the Platform Policies by creating, developing, and administering dozens of apps on the Facebook Platform from approximately 2010 through approximately 2019. These apps included the Rankwave app.
- 49. Rankwave breached these agreements with Facebook by taking the actions described above in violation of TOS 3.2.1 and Platform Policies 6.1 and 7.9. These include using Facebook Pages data associated with Rankwave's apps to offer advertising and marketing services; and failing to comply with Facebook's RFI requesting proof of compliance with its policies, including the Platform Policies and TOS, and its request for an audit.
- 50. Facebook has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required of it in accordance with its agreements with Rankwave.
 - 51. Rankwave's breaches have caused Facebook to incur damages.
- 52. The harms caused by Rankwave's breach of Platform Policy 7.9 can only be adequately remedied by specific performance of the contract between Facebook and Rankwave.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 53. Facebook incorporates all other paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Rankwave deprived Facebook of the benefit of its contracts, including Facebook's contractual rights to confirm and audit Rankwave's compliance with its agreements with Facebook.

23

24

25

26

27

- 55. As a result of Rankwave's breaches of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Facebook has suffered actual and tangible damages.
- 56. Rankwave has been unjustly enriched in the amount of \$9,800,000 by violating Facebook's policies, including the Platform Policies and TOS.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unlawful, Unfair, or Fraudulent Business Practices)

- 57. Facebook incorporates all other paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 58. Rankwave's actions described above, constitute unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent acts or practices in the conduct of a business, in violation of California's Business and Professions Code Section 17200 et seq., including actions that are forbidden by other state law.
 - 59. Facebook suffered damages as a result of these violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Facebook seeks judgment awarding the following relief:

- (a) Injunctive relief restraining Rankwave from accessing the Facebook Platform;
- (b) Injunctive relief requiring Rankwave to comply with Platform Policy 7.9 and respond fully and accurately to Facebook's RFI and other requests for proof of compliance with Facebook's Platform Policies and TOS, including a forensic data audit;
- (c) Injunctive relief requiring Rankwave to delete any and all Facebook data as appropriate after Rankwave complies with Platform Policy 7.9;
- (d) Money damages, including, but not limited to, actual, consequential, incidental, and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined in the course of this proceeding;
- (e) Disgorgement of the value of the Facebook data that Rankwave has unjustly received and retained in violation of its obligations to Facebook;
- (f) Attorney's fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with investigating and redressing Rankwave's misconduct;
 - (g) Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
 - (h) All other equitable or legal relief the Court deems just and proper.

27

PLAINTIFFS RESPECTFULLY DEMAND A JURY TRIAL.

DATED: May 10, 2019

1.0

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By:

Orin Snyder Alexander Southwell Kim Do

Attorneys for Facebook, Inc.

Platform Enforcement and Litigation Facebook, Inc.

Jessica Romero Michael Chmelar Stacy Chen

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP